ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I

Course Number: 1510 | **M**, **W**, **F**: 12:55-1:50

Teaching Associate: Spencer Smith

Office Hours: T: 2-3, W: 11:45-12:45, Th: 10-11

Required Materials

- Coates, Ta-Nehisi. Between the World and Me. Spiegel & Grau, 2015. (ISBN: 9780812993547)
- May, May, Holt. Readings on Writing. 3rd Ed. Van-Griner, 2016. (ISBN: 9781617402159)
- Rosenwasser, David and Jill Stephen. Writing Analytically. 7th ed. Cengage Learning, 2015. (ISBN-13: 9781337284448)
- Access to Blackboard: https://blackboard.ohio.edu
- Reliable access to a working computer with Microsoft Word and printer. Microsoft Word
 can be downloaded here http://bobcatdepot.ohio.edu/main/SiteText.aspx?id=19832

Course Description

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I focuses on analysis as in the search for meaningful patterns. Rather than simply tear things apart, analysis fosters an exploratory attitude toward experience; it searches for questions before rushing to answers; it aims at complex understandings. This course focuses on understanding before judging. The key to academic writing is to spend more time determining if an idea worth arguing in the first place. Rooted in a process oriented approach to writing, this course emphasizes practice and reflection to help you apply and understand course concepts.

Course Objectives

The course will focus on the following areas and objectives:

- **Rhetorical Knowledge**. You should be able to recognize the elements that inform rhetorical situations. This understanding should enable you to produce expository texts that:
 - o Have a clear purpose
 - o Respond to the needs of intended audiences
 - o Assume an appropriate stance
 - o Adopt an appropriate voice, tone, style, and level of formality
 - o Use appropriate conventions of format and structure
- Critical Thinking, Reading, and Writing. You should be able to:
 - o Use reading and writing for inquiry, learning, thinking, and communicating
 - o Analyze relationships among writer, text, and audience in various kinds of texts
 - O Use various critical thinking strategies to analyze texts
- Knowledge of Composing Processes. By the end of the semester, you should be able to:
 - Understand writing as a series of steps that includes generating ideas and text, drafting, revising,
 - o Recognize that writing is a flexible, recursive process
 - Apply this understanding and recognition to produce successive drafts of increasing quality
- Collaboration. The writing process is often collaborative and social. To demonstrate that understanding, you should be able to:

- O Work with others to improve your own and others' texts
- o Balance the advantages of relying on others with taking responsibility for your own work
- Knowledge of Conventions. To demonstrate understanding, you should be able to:
 - o Employ appropriate conventions for structure, paragraphing, mechanics, and format
 - Use a standard documentation
 - o Control syntax, grammar, punctuation, and spelling and editing
- Composing in Electronic Environments. To the extent that technology is available and appropriate, by the end of your first writing course you should be able to:
 - Understand the possibilities of electronic media/technologies for composing and publishing texts
 - Use electronic environments to support writing tasks such as drafting, reviewing, revising, editing, and sharing texts
- Cultural Competencies. The classroom environment is a space including diverse student and instructor identities, and in which each person present should engage difference in a collaborative and constructive manner. To this end, by the end of the semester, you should be able to:
 - o Recognize one's presuppositions and interrogate them through learning about multiple perspectives, and consider how those assumptions shape perceived reality.
 - O Interrogate how privilege impacts ourselves and others, while exploring options that seek to decentralize power from the few and disperse it to the many.
 - Practice critical reflection by assuming an appropriate stance situated in a specific cultural context.
 - o Recognize how diverse epistemologies and ontologies can affect writing.
 - Think critically about our position in society and how our values and assumptions influence our relationship with power through awareness, empathy, and responsibility.
 - O Communicate with diverse audiences through recognizing that genres and conventions are specific to communities.

Course Units

The course is divided into four units with four major essays that provide us the opportunity to work toward meeting our course objectives.

- Unit 1: Noticing Detail. This unit focuses on delaying judgment, summarizing texts, and focusing on development. For Essay 1: Visual Analysis, you will apply these skills by analyzing an image (advertisement, portrait, poster, artwork, or short video).
- Unit 2: Writing About Writing. This unit focuses on methods of textual analysis. For Essay 2: Textual Analysis, you will write an analysis of an academic text using the Method and the other four analytical moves.
- Unit 3: Arguing to Inform. This unit explores how writers present and support their claims with evidence. For Essay 3: Informative Argument, you will practice persuading an academic audience by researching an issue, and analyzing three different perspectives regarding that issue.
- Unit 4: Course Reflection. The final unit is a meta-reflection of your writing experiences in the course. For Essay 4: Writer's Reflection, you will discuss academic, professional, and/or personal insights you have gained about writing.

To help you improve and reflect upon your academic writing, each major essay project will require a draft, peer review, final draft, and reflection. To receive credit for your major essays, you will need to complete each step of the process on time, according to specifications, and with your best effort.

Homework Assignments (HW)

Based on the course readings, homework assignments largely consist of summary and responses, worksheets, and developing discussion and research questions; however, you will also have the opportunity to write tailored homework assignments throughout the semester, depending on the course reading and current project.

Assignment Grading

I will not place a letter grade (*e.g.*, A, B, F) on assignments; rather, I will provide written and verbal feedback on your work throughout the class. My comments are the best indicator of how your work is measuring up to my expectations for college-level composition; however, to supplement my feedback with a clear indication of where you stand, I will also use the following system to credit your work:

- 0 = No credit; Does not earn badge
- $\sqrt{-}$ (1 on Blackboard)= Credit: Some areas of concern that will result in 0 if continued in future work; Does **not** earn a badge on major essays
- $\sqrt{(2 \text{ on Blackboard})}$ = Credit: Work is excellent; Earns a badge on major essays
- $\sqrt{+}$ (3 on Blackboard)= Credit: Work is exceptional; Earns a badge on major essays

Course Grading System | Badges!

Instead of a traditional grading system, I will be using badges in order to assign your final grade for this class. I will provide badges for the following:

- Attendance (allowed three absences)
- Participation
- Visual Analysis *
- Textual Analysis *
- Rogerian Synthesis *
- Course Reflection *
- 14/17 of quizzes completed
- Achieve check or higher on 14/17 of quizzes
- 7/8 homework assignments completed
- Achieve check or higher on 7/8 of homework assignments
- Complete extra credit assignments or receive a $\sqrt{+}$ on one of the four major assignments

| Badges earned | Letter Grade |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| 11 badges earned | A |
| 10 badges earned | A- |
| 9 badges earned | B+ |
| 8 badges earned | В |
| 7 badges earned | В- |
| 6 badges earned | C+ |
| 5 badges earned | С |
| 4 badges earned | C- |
| Fewer than 4 badges earned | F |

For each day you are absent past the three allowed absences, your grade will drop a whole level (from a C to a C-, for instance, regardless of the number of badges you have.

Additionally, you must earn all four of the starred badges in order to earn anything higher than a C.

Course Grading System Terms

This course is set up so that many of the projects are self-directed. You can choose to earn any badge at any time in the semester. I have written a schedule for the class that, if followed and committed to by you, will allow you to earn a B or higher. I want you to use this course to learn and refine a system of time management that works for you. If you don't follow the schedule, you MUST meet with me to set up a schedule to submit your assignments.

Agreement and Commitment

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I will require significant effort on your part. As the instructor, I am committed to this class and to meeting the course objectives. Your decision to remain in this section of ENG 1510 demonstrates your agreement to the policies stated above and also implies your willingness to make a commitment to meeting the objectives of this course and to practicing critical thinking skills through academic reading, writing, and research.

General Course Policies

- Email: You have the responsibility to check your school email account regularly for important course communication. I will definitely answer the same day any email I receive before 11 pm [barring a crisis or emergency]. For any emails I receive after 11 pm, I cannot promise I will respond that night.
- Public writing: Submit work that other students can read. All major essays are public.
- Late homework assignments and quizzes are not accepted. All homework assignments and quizzes are due at the beginning of class unless stated otherwise.
- Tracking: Track your own absences and progress toward badges
- **Self-advocacy:** As a college student, you must develop your ability to advocate for your needs. You have the responsibility to identify barriers prohibiting your full classroom participation and engage productively with me and the other members of the classroom to remedy the issue.
- Attendance: There are no excused absences. There is a reason I give you three absences. Life happens. You can be absent three times and still get the attendance badge, no questions asked. I highly suggest saving those absences for sickness and/or serious family events.

Student Resources

Student Writing Center

The Writing Center is located in the Alden Library Learning Commons on the 2nd Floor. It is a free service that provides feedback for all writers at any stage of the writing process. Register online at http://ohio.mywconline.com prior to scheduling an appointment.

Academic Integrity

Academic integrity and honesty are basic values of Ohio University. Students are expected to follow standards of academic integrity and honesty. Academic misconduct is a violation of the Ohio University Student Code of Conduct subject to a maximum sanction of disciplinary suspension or expulsion as well as a grade penalty in the course. To learn more about Ohio University's stance on academic integrity, visit:

http://www.ohio.edu/communitystandards/academic/students.cfm.

Classroom Accessibility

Students who feel they may need an accommodation based on the impact of a disability should contact me privately to discuss their specific needs and provide written documentation from Student Accessibility Services. If you are not yet registered as a student with a disability, please contact Student Accessibility Services at 740-593-2620 or visit the office in 348 Baker University Center.

Authoring Software

Microsoft Office Office 365 ProPlus is available at no charge to OHIO students through Ohio University OIT: http://bobcatdepot.ohio.edu/main/SiteText.aspx?id=28112

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I

Spring 2017 Schedule

Official Dates: https://www.ohio.edu/registrar/upload/Academic-Calendar-2016-17-PRINTABLE-rev-3.pdf

Projects

- Essay 1: Visual Analysis, Peer Review, Reflection
- Essay 2: Textual Analysis, Peer Review, Reflection
- Essay 3: Rogerian Synthesis Essay, Peer Review, Reflection, Proposal, Bibliography
- Essay 4: Writer's Reflection, Peer Review

Key: HW: Homework | WA: Writing Analytically | Bb: Blackboard | PR: Major Essay Project-Peer Review/Workshop | BTWAM: Between the World and Me | ROW: Readings on Writing

| Date | Class Objectives | Read Before Class | Due at Class Start |
|-----------|---|--|---|
| M 1/9 | Course introduction: syllabus, schedule, policies, and WA text | | |
| W 1/11 | Review Blackboard functionality; introduce freewriting; Class member introductions (interview and intro) | WA: 20-21 (Online) BB tutorials (Bb) – https://www.ohio.edu/ oit/bbsupport/howto/st udents/index.cfm | |
| F 1/13 | Malcolm X; How did you come to read? | ROW (167-174) Malcolm X | HW: Write a page about how you learned to read and the knowledge (racial, political, etc) of the world and yourself it brought you (200-300 words) |
| M 1/16 | MLK Day - No Class | | |
| W 1/18 | Unit 1 – Noticing Details; Introduce Essay #1; communication triangle; summary & analysis; rhetorical appeals Counterproductive Habits of Mind (and methods to mitigate these habits), summary strategies for analysis; forms of analytic writing (agree/disagree; compare contrast; definition) | WA: 1-10; 10-16; 75- 84 (Quiz) | |
| F 1/20 | Five Analytical Moves (1 through 3); notice and focus + ranking; | WA: 16-26; 290-3 (Quiz) | HW: Summary/Response – |

| | interesting, revealing, and strange; asking "so what" Using detailed language; concrete & abstract language | ROW: 337-342 (Zaal) | Zaal (400 words) Suggestions: Have you seen/read the kind of representations of Islam that Zaal complains about? Talk about these in your Summary and Response. |
|-----------|---|--|--|
| M 1/23 | Five Analytical Moves (4 and 5); the method; interpretive leaps; visual analysis Making interpretations plausible; | WA: 26-36 (Quiz) http://writingcommons .org/index.php/open- text/information- literacy/visual- literacy/ad- analysis/437- analyzing-ads-race WA: 119-25 (Quiz) | Bring 2 proposed ads where race is prominent for analysis in Essay #1 to class for instructor approval |
| 1/25 | interpretive context | WA. 117-23 (Quiz) | |
| F 1/27 | Figurative logic; implications & hidden meanings; metaphor; simile Talk about Peer Review | WA: 125-37 (Quiz) http://writingcommons .org/open- text/collaboration/peer -review/86-document- review-guidelines | HW: Submit Five Analytical moves worksheet on image (Bb) |
| M 1/30 | Peer Review | | PR: Bring 80% Draft to class (Peer Review) |
| W | Unit 2 – Writing About Writing; | | Essay #1 Due w/ |
| 2/1 | Introduce Essay #2 and Ta-Nehisi Coates's <i>Between the World and</i> <i>Me</i> ; logical fallacies in-class practice (WAR: 140-2) | | Reflection (Bb) |
| F 2/3 | Rhetorical Analysis; the pitch, complaint, and moment Tim Wise Video https://www.youtube.com/watch?v =N4w9UnE4FLY | WA: 53-56 (Quiz) Lalami (NYT) Black (NYT) | |
| M 2/6 | Conferences – No Class | WA. 20 44 (O :) | HW: Finish Tim Wise Video – Summary/Response Suggestion: Put the Time Wise video in conversation with Lalami and Black |
| W | Critique; active reading | WA: 39-44 (Quiz) | HW: |

| 2/8 | | Brown - "Polite White | Summary/Response – |
|----------|---|---|---|
| 2,0 | | Supremacy" | Brown |
| | | Supremacy | Suggestion: Does |
| | | | Brown think it is |
| | | | |
| | | | enough to leave white |
| | | | supremacy like Black |
| | | | did? |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| F | Writing to learn; pointing; | WA: 44-53 (Quiz) | HW: |
| 2/10 | quotation; paraphrase x3; passage- | "What's Wrong with | Pick a sentence from |
| | based freewriting | 'All Lives Matter'?" | "What's Wrong with |
| | | (NYT) | 'All Lives Matter'?" and |
| | | | passage-based freewrite |
| | | | (Bb) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| M | Writing Workshop – Practice | WA: 56-68 (Quiz) | HW: Three discussion |
| 2/13 | uncovering assumptions; tracking | BTWAM: 1-39 | questions from |
| | binaries | | BTWAM passage |
| | | | 1 0 |
| W | Ta-Nehisi Coates Background & | BTWAM: 39-71 | |
| 2/15 | On Writing | | |
| | 011 (1111111g | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| F | | BTWAM: 75-99 | HW: Three discussion |
| 2/17 | | | questions |
| M | Talk about analyzing Coates | BTWAM: 99-132 | HW: Pick significant |
| 2/20 | | Harris - "Critical Race | passage from BTWAM |
| | | Theory" | you want to analyze |
| W | Introductions and Conclusions | WA: 236-45 (Quiz) | HW: Summarize |
| 2/22 | The successions and Conclusions | BTWAM: 135-152 | chosen passage |
| F | Writing Workshop | | PR: 5 Analytical |
| 2/24 | img orkshop | | Moves Worksheet on |
| 2/24 | | | your passage |
| NA | Peer Review | | PR: 80% Draft |
| M | reer Keview | | rk: 80% Drait |
| 2/27 | TI 42 A T e | A . 1 D1 1 | |
| W 2/1 | Unit 3 – Arguing to Inform; | ArticlesPlus tutorial: | DD. Einel duckt 0 |
| 3/1 | Introduce Essay #3; introduce library resources | https://www.youtube.c om/watch?v=96xk- | PR: Final draft & Reflection for Essay 2 |
| | Horary resources | 61eEfA&feature=yout | due (Bb) |
| | | orenacieature—yout | duc (Du) |

| | \ | <u>u.be</u> | |
|------|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | |
| F | Introduce evidence and claims; | WA: 89-96 (Quiz) | |
| | unsubstantiated claims | W11. 05-70 (Quiz) | |
| 3/3 | | | |
| M | SPRING BREAK | | |
| 3/6 | | | |
| W | SPRING BREAK | | |
| 3/8 | | | |
| | | | |
| F | SPRING BREAK | | |
| 3/10 | | | |
| M | What is Rogerian | WA. 06 101(Ovia) | DD: Chaosa anaharina |
| 3/13 | Argument/Synthesis? | WA: 96-101(Quiz) | PR : Choose anchoring essay |
| 3/13 | rugument synthesis. | | Cooky |
| W | NO CLASS | | |
| 3/15 | | | |
| F | NO CLASS | | |
| 3/17 | | | |
| M | Rogerian Argument/Synthesis in | WA: 181-196 (Quiz) | PR: Five Analytical |
| 3/20 | practice – Group work | | Moves on Anchoring |
| | Heine sources analytically the | | essay |
| | Using sources analytically; the | | |
| | conversation metaphor; primary & secondary sources; Six strategies | | |
| | for using sources more analytically | | |
| | for using sources more analytically | | |
| | Rogerian Worksheet | | |
| | - C | | |
| W | Writing (Library) Workshop – | WA: 101-09 (Quiz) | |
| 3/22 | Finding and evaluating sources; | | |
| | Introducing Annotated Bibliography; Induction & | | |
| | deduction (10 on 1, 1 on 10) | | |
| | 223404011 (10 011 1, 1 011 10) | | |
| F | Schemes for organizing academic | WA: 251-61 (Quiz) | PR: Annotated |
| 3/24 | papers; paragraphing, structure; | | bibliography (5 sources |
| | transitions | | for Essay #3) |
| | (Transition handout) | | |
| | (Transition handout) | | |
| M | Integrating sources; plagiarism | WA: 196-203, 293- | |
| 3/27 | | 303 (Quiz) | |
| | Writing Workshop – Shades of | | |
| | meaning; ethos and audience | | |

| | expectations; charged contexts; | | |
|------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | tone; politics of language | | |
| | Ethos worksheet – writing letters | | |
| W | Writing Workshop – Thesis | WA: 147-56, 175-79 | PR: Bring 50% draft to |
| 3/29 | statements; practice introductions | (Quiz) | class (Workshop Day) |
| | and conclusions | | |
| F | Peer Review – Practice | | PR: Bring 80% draft to |
| 3/31 | troubleshooting a weak thesis | | class (Peer Review) |
| | statement | | |
| M | Class Discussion | ROW: Anzaldúa | PR : Final draft due |
| 4/3 | | | |
| W | Class Discussion | ROW: Bayoumi | |
| 4/5 | | | |
| F | Class Discussion | ROW: Smitherman | |
| 4/7 | | | |
| M | Class Discussion | ROW: Garza | |
| 4/10 | | | |
| W | Unit 4 – Writing About | | PR : Reflection for |
| 4/12 | Yourself; Introduce Essay #4 | DOM G 1.1 | Essay 3 due |
| F | Class Discussion | ROW: Soliday | |
| 4/14 | | WA: 77-81 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| M | Class Discussion | ROW: Villanueva | |
| 4/17 | | | |
| W | A look back | | |
| 4/19 | | | |
| F | Writing Workshop | | PR: Bring at least a |
| 4/21 | | | 50% draft of Essay 4 to |
| | | | class to work on |
| F | | | PR: Final draft of |
| 4/28 | | | Essay 4 due on Bb by |
| | | | 5:10 pm on 4/28 |

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I

Summary and Response Writing Assignments

Summary and response is an important skill for active reading. Invest time and energy in these assignments because this work will help you gain proficiency in academic writing and support your major essays. The basic expectations for an academic summary and analytic response follow.

Summary

An effective summary will:

- explain the author's overall argument what is at stake in the piece?
- describe the main ideas of the text that support/ inform the overall argument
- include at least one notable quotation
- attempt to be objective (to report/describe) and avoid personal opinion
- include a works cited page using MLA style at the end of the work summarized

The following model is useful to adopt for academic summaries:

In their article, "Title," authors X, Y, and Z argue such and such because of the following reasons. [Summarize the reasons and provide specific and relevant examples from the text using MLA style.]

Response

An academic response goes beyond agree/ disagree and like/ dislike reactions. An effective analytic response will focus on one or two important, significant, or out of place elements of the text – something that stuck out to you when you encountered it in the text – and seek to understand it. One way to do this is to select a phrase or sentence from the text and focus on this. Explain how the (local) quotation relates to the overall (global) argument of the text. Does it support it? Complicate it? Your response should make the implicit explicit by asking "so what?" and drawing out some interesting implications. Look for patterns and binary oppositions to understand what is at stake. At this point, after dwelling in the data and analyzing your local part of the text, interpret the meaning of what you have discovered. Frame your interpretation (response) as a direct statement – your claim.

Your response should contain the following organizing elements:

- a claim that clearly frames your response to the reading or a question that poses a question that leads you into analysis
- a middle section that clearly and explicitly outlines your analytical thinking process
- specific examples and reasons supporting your ideas (do not generalize)
- a conclusion that explains the results of your analysis what did you learn?

Requirements

Your response must:

- be at least 400 words in length and formatted using MLA style
- summarize the claim and key concepts of the text (~150 words)
- transition smoothly from summary to analysis
- make a claim about the text that frames your response,
- support your claim using textual evidence (cited) and/or your experience
- connect the text to others we have read (this may be part of your claim)

Sources

You must draw upon (and cite) the source text; additionally, you may choose to draw from your personal experience.

Due-Dates

Submit your summary/response in Bb before the start of class.

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I – Unit One: Noticing Details

Composition Project 1: Visual Analysis

Due-Dates and Deliverables

1/23: Proposal of visual text (bring two & select one after instructor sign-off)

1/27: 5 Analytical Moves on text

1/30: 80% Draft in Microsoft Word document (.docx) to class for Peer Review

2/1: Final Draft of Visual Analysis and Reflection due on Blackboard (.docx)

Context

In this unit, we will practice delaying judgment, summarizing texts, and including more detail in our analyses. You will apply these techniques by analyzing an image (advertisement, portrait, poster, artwork) of your choosing with instructor approval.

Assignment

For this project you will write a visual analysis of an image (advertisement, portrait, poster, artwork). Your essay will initially introduce and then summarize the image – resisting any interpretive leaps. It is helpful to assume your reader has never seen this image, so you must describe the work in sufficient detail for a reader to imagine your text as you see it. Next, your essay should thoroughly analyze the visual text using the Five Analytical Moves (see WA 16-36) and answer the question "so what?" Your analysis should expose possible implications and hidden meanings, as well as important metaphors. Your essay will then consider some possible interpretations of the image and conclude by forwarding your own plausible interpretive context. Finally, conclude your essay with your image and include a works cited page.

Requirements

Your essay must:

- be at least 1,000 words and formatted to MLA essay requirements
- assume a reader not familiar with the text (include sufficient detail to imagine your image)
- introduce the image, author(s), and context in which it appears
- include a detailed and objective summary (description) of the image (~200 words)
- use specific, concrete language without over-generalizing
- transition smoothly from summary to analysis
- use the Five Analytical Moves to thoroughly analyze key points of the text (~500 words)
- identify interesting, significant, or strange elements of the image and rank these
- focus on one or two details, examine them, and answer the question, "so what?" to
 expose implications and hidden meanings, as well as key metaphors and organizing
 contrasts
- transition smoothly to presenting some possible interpretations of the text
- conclude by providing your most plausible interpretive context (~300 words)
- format your essay according to MLA style requirements and include the image and a Works Cited page
- cite the image you analyze, and if you choose to draw from any additional texts, you must cite these sources as well

Style Guide

WAR: "MLA Style" (p. 227)

Composition Project 1: Visual Analysis Rubric

| 0 | - | | + |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Not sufficiently | Errors in MLA | Formatted according | |
| formatted according | formatting | to MLA essay style | |
| to MLA essay style | | and includes a Works | |
| | And/or Errors in | Cited page | |
| And/Or Missing a | Works Cited page | | |
| Works Cited Page | | | |
| Less than 1,000 | Is at least 1,000 but | Is at least 1,000 but | Includes creative and |
| words or more than | no more than 1,500 | no more than 1,500 | purposeful use of |
| 1,500 words in length | words in length | words in length and | more than one image |
| | | includes the image in | in the essay |
| | Does not include an | the essay | Or includes creative |
| | image of the ad in the | • | and purposeful use of |
| | essay | | other sources |
| Does not introduce | Implies the author of | Begins by | The image, its author |
| the image or its | the image and the | introducing the | and context is |
| context at all | context surrounding it | image, author, and | expertly introduced |
| | but does not do so at | context surrounding | |
| | the beginning or | the piece to an | |
| | effectively | audience who is not | |
| | Circuivery | familiar with the | |
| | | work | |
| No summary of the | Some summary of the | Includes a detailed | Summary of the |
| image is given | image is given but it | and objective | image is expertly |
| | is too short to give | summary of the | used for the analysis |
| | the reader an | image to be analyzed | of the image that |
| | adequate idea of the | (~200 words) | follows |
| | image | | |
| Makes no use of | Transitions jarringly | Transitions smoothly | Transitions to |
| transitions | from summary to | from summary to | enhance summary, |
| | analysis and from | analysis and from | analysis, and |
| | analysis to | analysis to | interpretation |
| | interpretation | interpretation | 1 |
| | F | F | |
| Does not Apply | Applies Notice & | Applies Notice & | Expertly applies |
| Notice & Focus or | Focus and the | Focus and the | Notice & Focus and |
| does not identify | Method but does not | Method to identify | the Method to |
| interest, significant, | adequately explain | interesting, | identify interesting, |
| or strange elements | why these elements | significant, or strange | significant, or strange |
| of the image | are interesting, | elements of the image | elements of the image |

| | significant, or strange | and ranks these (~500 | and ranks these (~500 |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | words) | words) and uses these |
| | | | moves to |
| | | | purposefully move |
| | | | into analysis |
| Does not mention any | Implies a recognition | Focuses on one or | All important details |
| binaries or patterns | of binaries or patterns | two important details | mentioned are tied to |
| | but does not | and examines the | binaries or patterns in |
| | communicate how | binaries/patterns they | the image |
| | important details | are implicated in | |
| | contribute or are | | |
| | influenced by them | | |
| Does not even ask the | Makes some attempt | Answers the | By answering "so |
| question "so what?" | to expose possible | question, "so what?" | what?" begins to |
| And/or | implications, hidden | to expose possible | make some original |
| Does not make any | meanings, and key | implications, hidden | interpretations of the |
| attempt to expose | metaphors | meanings, and key | image |
| possible implications, | | metaphors | |
| hidden meanings, and | | | |
| key metaphors | | | |
| Does not present any | Presents one possible | Presents some | Possible |
| possible | interpretation of the | possible | interpretations are |
| interpretations of the | image | interpretations of the | original and |
| image | | image | meaningful |
| Does not conclude by | Concludes by | Concludes by | Conclusion forwards |
| forwarding a | forwarding a | forwarding a | an exceptionally |
| plausible interpretive | plausible interpretive | plausible interpretive | original interpretation |
| context and/or does | context but does not | context and provides | and expertly provides |
| not provide support | provide sufficient | support (evidence) | support (evidence) |
| (evidence) for this | support (evidence) | for this interpretation | for this interpretation |
| interpretation | for this interpretation | (~300 words) | |

Reflective Statement Specifications:

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I – Reflective Statement

Failure to complete and submit a Reflective Essay will result in an incomplete for your major essay. Reflections must be submitted to Bb as a .docx file.

Context

Reflection is an important metacognitive process that supports active learning and retention.

Assignment

For the reflective statement, you will explain the choices you made in composing your essay. You do not need to defend your choices, rather you want to expose them and reflect on how you arrived at the project you turned in. What problems did you confront? How did you solve these problems? Are you satisfied with the result? What did you learn about the writing process? What would you do differently next time?

Requirements

Your essay must:

- be at least 500 words in length and formatted using MLA style
- clearly present an insight regarding your work related to the production of it
- explain the rhetorical situation (purpose or interpretive claim, intended audience, desired effect upon the audience) and the rhetorical choices you made (appeals, style, etc.)
- draw connections to texts we have read or course concepts
- reflect on the most successful elements of the work as well as the elements you feel do not meet up to your expectations or hopes
- reflect on the peer review process and how you responded to the feedback.
- reflect on what the texts you encountered in this unit had to say about your identity
- draw upon sources from your project essay and your personal experience as well as other course texts.

Reflective Statement Rubric

Requirement

Pages formatted according to MLA standards (headers, 2x spacing, 12-pt TNR font)

Is at least 500 words in length and formatted using MLA citation style

Clearly presents an insight regarding your work related to the production of it

Clearly presents an insight regarding your identity

Explains the rhetorical situation (purpose, intended audience, desired effect upon the audience) and the rhetorical choices you made (appeals, style, etc.)

Reflects on the most successful elements of the work as well as the elements you feel do not meet up to your expectations or hopes

Reflects on the peer review process and how you responded to the feedback

Draws connections to other texts we have read or course concepts

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I – Unit 2: Writing About Writing

Essay 2: Textual Analysis

Due-Dates and Deliverables

2/20: Instructor-approval of proposed passage from Between the World and Me

2/22: Summarize proposed passage (200+ words)

2/24: 5 Analytical Moves on Passage (.docx)

2/27: Bring 80% Draft (.docx) to Peer Review

3/1: Final Draft & Reflection (.docx)

Context

In this unit, we will transfer our knowledge of analysis from pictures to text. We will explore methods of critique. Now, you will practice these techniques on an approved, academic text (book passage) of your choosing with instructor approval.

Assignment

Your goal is to write an analysis of academic textual discourse (Ta-Nehisi Coates's *Between the World and Me*) in which you focus on what the text says both explicitly and implicitly as well as how it says it. Your goal is to summarize the discourse, explain what is at stake in the text, situate the reading rhetorically (attend to pitch, complaint, and moment), analyze the text's structure of thinking, and describe its organizational pattern (inductive, deductive, or some combination of both), uncover its assumptions, interpret the implications and/or hidden meanings of the text, and then conclude by forwarding your own plausible interpretive context for the text (a text of 6-7 pages).

Requirements

- be at least 1,500 words formatted according to MLA documentation style
- include cited paraphrases or quotations from Between the World and Me
- summarize the passage in an objective and academic fashion
- explain what is at stake in the passage
- identify the pitch, complaint, and the moment of the passage
- explain the Method to identify binaries and patterns to make the implicit explicit
- describe the logical structure of the passage and the organizing contrast(s)
- uncover assumptions of the text and answer the question, "so what?"
- conclude by offering and supporting with textual evidence your own interpretive context that explains the implications of and/or hidden meanings in the passage. Your interpretive context should be interesting and not readily apparent to anyone who reads the passage (meaning, your idea is not on "the surface" but offers a deeper insight into the passage).

Sources

You must draw upon (and cite) your passage at least three times to support your analysis. Your essay must include in-text citations and a Works Cited page.

Required Text: Coates, Ta-Nehisi. Between the World and Me. Spiegel & Grau, 2015.

Recommended Reading: Coates, Ta-Nehisi. "The Black Family in the Age of Mass Incarceration." *The Atlantic*, October 2015,

 $\frac{https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2015/10/the-black-family-in-the-age-of-mass-incarceration/403246/.$

Coates, Ta-Nehisi. The Beautiful Struggle. Spiegel & Grau, 2008.

Coates, Ta-Nehisi. "The Case for Reparations." The Atlantic, June 2014,

http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2014/06/the-case-for-reparations/361631/.

Coates, Ta-Nehisi. "My President Was Black." The Atlantic, January/February 2017,

https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2017/01/my-president-was-black/508793/.

West, Cornel. Race Matters. Beacon Press, 2001.

Style Guide

WAR: "MLA Style" (p.227)

Essay 2: Textual Analysis Grading Rubric

| 0 | - | $\sqrt{}$ | + |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Not sufficiently | Errors in MLA | Formatted according | |
| formatted according | formatting | to MLA essay style | |
| to MLA essay style | | and includes a Works | |
| | And/or Errors in | Cited page | |
| And/Or Missing a | Works Cited page | | |
| Works Cited Page | | | |
| Does not introduce | Introduces BTWAM, | Introduces BTWAM, | Expertly introduces |
| BTWAM, Ta-Nehisi | Ta-Nehisi Coates, | Ta-Nehisi Coates, | BTWAM, Ta-Nehisi |
| Coates, or the context | and context in which | and context in which | Coates, and context |
| in which it was | it was written but | it was written | in which it was |
| written | does not assume a | assuming a reader | written assuming a |
| | reader unfamiliar | unfamiliar with the | reader unfamiliar |
| | with the text and | text and situation | with the text and |
| | situation | | situation |
| Is fewer than 1,500 | Is at least 1,500 | Is at least 1,500 and | |
| words or more than | words and no more | no more than 2,250 | |
| 2,250 | than 2,250 in length | words in length and | |
| | Some errors in MLA | formatted according | |
| | formatting | to MLA essay style | |
| No summary of the | Some summary of the | Summarizes the | Summary of the |
| BTWAM passage is | BTWAM passage is | BTWAM passage | BTWAM passage is |

| given | given but it is too short to contextualize the passage for the reader | objectively and resists jumping to interpretation | expertly used for the analysis of the passage that follows |
|--|--|---|---|
| No inclusion of paraphrasing or quotations from the <i>BTWAM</i> passage in the analysis | An attempt is made at use of paraphrasing and quotations in the analysis but it is not clear why those attempts are being made | Includes paraphrasing and quotations from the <i>BTWAM</i> passage in the analysis | Expertly uses paraphrasing and quotations from the <i>BTWAM</i> passage for analysis |
| Does not explain what is at stake in the passage of <i>BTWAM</i> | Makes some attempt at explaining what is at stake in the <i>BTWAM</i> passage but the passage does not support this explanation | Explains what is at stake in the passage of <i>BTWAM</i> | What is at stake in the <i>BTWAM</i> passage is expertly used to move into analysis |
| Does not identify the pitch, complaint, and moment of the <i>BTWAM</i> passage | Identifies two of these elements: pitch, complaint, moment | Identifies the pitch, complaint, and the moment of the <i>BTWAM</i> passage | Identification of pitch, complaint, and moment is expertly used to move into analysis |
| There is no transition to analysis | Transitions to analysis but not smoothly | Transitions smoothly to analysis | Transition enhances analysis |
| Does not use The Method to uncover interesting, significant, and/or strange details and examines one or a few of these details to uncover binaries and patterns making the implicit claims of the BTWAM passage explicit | Uses The Method to uncover interesting, significant, and/or strange details but does not examine one or a few of these details to uncover binaries and patterns making the implicit claims of the BTWAM passage explicit | Uses The Method to uncover interesting, significant, and/or strange details and examines one or a few of these details to uncover binaries and patterns making the implicit claims of the <i>BTWAM</i> passage explicit | Expertly uses The Method to uncover interesting, significant, and/or strange details and examines one or a few of these details to uncover binaries and patterns making the implicit claims of the BTWAM passage explicit. These rhetorical moves begin analysis. |
| Does not describe the logical structure and/or the organizing | Implies the logical structure and organizing contrast(s) | Describes the logical structure and organizing contrast(s) | Logical structure and organizing contrast(s) are described expertly |

| contrast(s) of the | but does not explain | of the BTWAM | to move into analysis |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| BTWAM passage | how the structure is | passage in the | |
| | connected to the | analysis | |
| | analysis | | |
| Does not uncover | Uncovers | Uncovers | Expertly uncovers |
| assumptions | assumptions but does | assumptions made by | assumptions that |
| | not push these to | the BTWAM passage | make for original |
| | implications and | and pushes these to | transitions into |
| | conclusions | implications and | analysis |
| | | conclusions by | |
| | | answering the | |
| | | question, "so what?" | |
| Does not conclude | Concludes with an | Concludes with a | Expertly concludes |
| with an interpretive | interpretive context | well-supported own | with a well-supported |
| context. | but not one that is | interpretive context | own interpretive |
| | original. | that explains in an | context. |
| | | interesting way the | |
| | | implications of the | |
| | | BTWAM passage. | |
| | | The interpretive | |
| | | context is not readily | |
| | | apparent to anyone | |
| | | who reads the | |
| | | passage (reporting); | |
| | | rather, it offers a | |
| | | deeper insight into | |
| | | the passage and | |
| | | expands the possible | |
| | | meanings of the | |
| | | passage (analyzing). | |

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I – Unit 3: Writing to Inform Essay 3: Rogerian Synthesis Essay

Due-Dates and Deliverables

3/13: Choose Anchoring Essay

3/20: 5 Analytical Moves on Anchoring Essay

3/24: Annotated Bib (.docx)

3/29: Draft Essay (50% complete draft) (.docx) 3/31: Draft Essay (80% complete draft) (.docx)

4/3: Final Draft, Peer Review

4/12: Reflection due

Context

In the previous unit we analyzed how Ta-Nehisi Coates presents and supports his claims with evidence. Now, you will practice these techniques to inform an academic audience by academically presenting an issue and three differing perspectives on that issue. Then, using a Rogerian approach, you will explore the ways in which these three perspectives are similar or come into conflict, and postulate a possible solution.

Anchoring Essays

Gloria Anzaldúa - "How to Tame a Wild Tongue" - Chicano Spanish

Geneva Smitherman - "'God Don't Never Change': Black English from a Black Perspective" - Standard English

Moustafa Bayoumi - "Between Acceptance and Rejection: Muslim Americans and the Legacies of September 11" - Islamophobia

Alicia Garza - "A Herstory of the #BlackLivesMatter Movement" - Black Lives Matter

Assignment

In this essay you will select an anchoring essay. You will determine the complaint of the anchoring essay (why the essay was written) and you will explore other sides of the issue. You will analyze three different and distinct perspectives surrounding it (your anchoring essay can be one of these). Your audience is academic (your instructor); your purpose is to accurately and objectively describe the positions regarding the issue. Think of yourself as an investigative reporter who uncovers the issue in your anchoring essay and explains what is at stake (why does it matter?). Your job is to discover the voices and their respective positions in the debate surrounding the issue and to present them equally and fairly to your audience to inform them of the issue and the perspectives. After presenting the various positions deductively and rooting your analysis in real people (and letting them speak for themselves), you will forward a recommendation that attempts to satisfy each of the perspectives by making concessions and adopting a Rogerian approach. Explain your reasoning for your choices and recommendation.

Requirements

Your essay must:

- be 1,500 words and formatted according to MLA essay style
- summarize the issue and explain what is at stake
- describe and analyze three plausible perspectives (pitch, complaint, moment, etc.) surrounding the issue being sure to represent each perspective fairly and comprehensively, seeking to present each perspective fairly and with equal emphasis
- root each position in a real person (do not generalize), and allow that person to speak for their position using their own words (quoted from reputable sources) do not present abstract positions (for example, "women," "smokers," or "students"); instead, discuss real people (for example, "Ms. Joan Collins," "Tom Radford," or "Michelle Arm") who speak for their respective position
- make implicit claims explicit and describe the implications for each position
- conclude with a recommendation based on concessions for each of the perspectives you analyzed; explain your reasoning
- include paraphrases and quotations from your sources

Style Guide

WAR: "MLA Style" (p. 227)

Essay 3: Rogerian Synthesis Rubric

| 0 | - | $\sqrt{}$ | + |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Is fewer than 1,500 | Is at least 1,500 | At least 1,500 words | |
| words or more than | words and no more | and formatted | |
| 2,250 | than 2,250 in length | according to MLA | |
| | Some errors in MLA | essay style | |
| | formatting | | |
| Does not summarize | Summarizes the issue | Summarizes the issue | Expertly summarizes |
| the issue | but not objectively | objectively and | the issue objectively, |
| | | assumes an academic | highlighting parts that |
| | | reader not familiar | will be important to |
| | | with the issue | the eventual proposal |
| Does not explain | Explains what is at | Explains what is at | Expertly explains |
| what is at stake at all | stake in the issue | stake in the issue | what is at stake in the |
| | from some | from each perspective | issue to frame |
| | perspectives | | eventual proposal |
| Description and | Describes and | Describes and | Expertly describes |
| analysis of less than | analyzes three | analyzes three | and analyzes three |
| the three plausible | plausible perspectives | plausible perspectives | plausible perspectives |
| perspectives | (pitch, complaint, | (pitch, complaint, | (pitch, complaint, |
| | moment, etc.) | moment, etc.) | moment, etc.) |
| | surrounding the issue | surrounding the issue | surrounding the issue |
| | but does not represent | representing each | representing each |
| | each perspective | perspective fairly, | perspective fairly, |
| | fairly, | comprehensively, and | comprehensively, and |

| | comprehensively, and with equal emphasis | with equal emphasis | with equal emphasis and uses this analysis |
|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | to inform the |
| | | | proposal |
| Three positions are | Positions are | Roots each position | Positions are used to |
| not forwarded | generalized or taken | in a real person (does | "talk" to one another |
| | from less than | not generalize), | |
| | reputable sources. | allowing that person | |
| | | to act as the | |
| | | spokesperson for | |
| | | their position using | |
| | | their own words | |
| | | (quoted from | |
| | | reputable sources) – | |
| | | that is, does not | |
| | | present abstract | |
| | | positions (for example, "women," | |
| | | "smokers," or | |
| | | "students"); instead, | |
| | | discusses real people | |
| | | (for example, "Ms. | |
| | | Joan Collins," "Tom | |
| | | Radford," or | |
| | | "Michelle Arm") who | |
| | | speak in their own | |
| | | words as much as | |
| | | possible for their | |
| | | respective positions | |
| Does not define key | Defines some key | Defines key concepts | Expertly defines key |
| concepts and terms | concepts and terms | and terms important | concepts and terms to |
| important to | important to | to understanding each | inform eventual |
| understanding each | understanding each | perspective | proposal |
| perspective | perspective | | |
| Does not make | Makes some attempt | Makes implicit | Expertly makes |
| implicit claims | at making implicit | claims explicit and | implicit claims |
| explicit | claims explicit but | draws out the | explicit and draws |
| | does not draw out | implications by | out the implications |
| | implications | answering, "so what?" | by answering, "so what?" |
| Does not conclude | Concludes with a | Concludes with a | Expertly concludes |
| with a | recommendation that | novel | with a novel |
| recommendation | is not novel | recommendation that | recommendation that |
| 1000mmondation | And/or concludes | proposes a "middle- | proposes a "middle- |
| | | r-special initial | L-share murate |

| | with a | ground" position that | ground" position that |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | recommendation but | presents a | presents a |
| | does not describe | compromise between | compromise between |
| | how this | the perspectives | the perspectives |
| | recommendation is a | analyzed | analyzed |
| | compromise | | |
| Does not explain how | Explains how the | Explains how the | Expertly explains |
| the proposed | proposed "middle- | proposed "middle- | how the proposed |
| "middle-ground" | ground" position | ground" position | "middle-ground" |
| position presents a | presents a reasonable | presents a reasonable | position presents a |
| reasonable solution | solution by | solution by | reasonable solution |
| by explaining how it | explaining how it | explaining how it | by explaining how it |
| benefits each position | benefits some | benefits each position | benefits each position |
| as well as what | positions as well as | as well as what | as well as what |
| concessions each | what concessions | concessions each | concessions each |
| position would have | some positions would | position would have | position would have |
| to accept | have to accept | to accept | to accept |
| No paraphrase or | Includes some | Includes ample | Expertly includes |
| quotations used or, if | paraphrases and | paraphrases and | ample paraphrases |
| they are used, not | quotations for each | quotations for each | and quotations for |
| used convincingly or | position (reputable | position (reputable | each position |
| not cited well | sources and well | sources and well | (reputable sources |
| | cited) to convince the | cited) to convince the | and well cited) to |
| | reader that the | reader that the | convince the reader |
| | position is being | position is being | that the position is |
| | represented fairly. | represented fairly | being represented |
| | There are some | | fairly |
| | mistakes in citation. | | |

ENG 1510: Writing and Rhetoric I - Unit 4: Course Reflection Essay 4: Course Reflection

Due-Dates and Deliverables

4/21: At least 50% Draft (.docx) of Course Reflection 4/28 at 5:10 pm: Final Course Reflection (.docx) due on Blackboard

Context

During the semester you have practiced analysis to strengthen your ability to break down complex arguments, notice details, make the implicit explicit, push implications to conclusions, formulate plausible interpretive contexts, and understand how a text makes its claims and presents supporting evidence. Additionally, you have practiced effective habits of mind that support academic writing. You have been presented with texts that think about identity. In this last essay, you will reflect on your own identity in conversation with course texts and selected elements of analysis that you have practiced in this class.

Assignment

For this essay, you will write an interesting and original claim about your college composition experience focused on elements of analysis. You may choose to frame it chronologically, topically, or simply concentrate on what has changed. Begin by revisiting your 1/13 homework, and discuss what your initial experiences were with reading and writing, then transition to your experience in the course. What interested you? What insights have you gained academically, professionally, or personally? What will you do differently now (in terms of writing)? What will you carry forward? What have you discovered about yourself? About society?

Requirements

Your essay must:

- be at least 1,000 words and formatted in MLA essay requirements
- make an original claim about your college composition performance
- focus (or frame) your argument with elements of analysis
- integrate discussions of three course texts (specific terms or key concepts)
- include quotations from three course texts to support your claim
- include personal experience
- succinctly describe what has changed in your college reading/writing model
- conclude with what you will carry forward from this class

Style Guide

WAR: "MLA Style" (p. 227)

Essay 4: Writer's Reflection Grading Rubric

| 0 | - | $\sqrt{}$ | + |
|--|---|---|---|
| Does not introduce the text, author, and context in which it appears | Introduces the text, author, and context in which it appears but does not do so clearly to a reader unfamiliar with the writer's ENG 1510 work | Introduces the text, author, and context in which it appears assuming a reader unfamiliar with the writer's ENG 1510 work and the assignment | Creatively and purposefully introduces the text, author, and context in which it appears assuming a reader unfamiliar with the writer's ENG 1510 work and the assignment |
| Less than 1,000 words or more than 1,500 words in length | Is at least 1,000 but no more than 1,500 words in length But there are some MLA formatting errors | Is at least 1,000 but no more than 1,500 words in length Formatted according to MLA standards | |
| Makes no claim about the writer's college composition performance | Makes a claim about the writer's college composition performance but what is at stake is not apparent | Makes an interesting and original claim about the writer's college composition performance – clearly articulates what is at stake | Expertly makes an interesting and original claim about the writer's college composition performance – clearly articulates what is at stake |
| Does not clearly communicate the pitch, complaint and moment for the essay No frame for the argument is given | Clearly communicates one of these: pitch, complaint, moment Focuses the argument but not with specific elements of analysis or does not include appropriate definitions | Clearly communicates the pitch, complaint and moment for the essay Focuses (or frames) the argument with specific elements of analysis (includes definitions and examples where appropriate) | Expertly communicates the pitch, complaint and moment for the essay Creatively and expertly focuses (or frames) the argument with specific elements of analysis (includes definitions and examples where appropriate) |
| No course texts and/or concepts are included integrated | Some integrated discussion of course texts and concepts (specific terms or key concepts) | Integrates discussions of course texts (at least three) and concepts (specific terms or key concepts) | Expert and creatively integrates discussions of course texts (at least three) and concepts (specific terms or key concepts) |

| No quotation of | Includes some | Includes quotations | Expertly and |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| course texts and | quotations from | from course texts (at | creatively includes |
| writer's own writing | course texts and | least 3) and writer's | quotations from |
| included | writer's own writing | own writing (at least | course texts (at least |
| | to support the claim | 3) to support the | 3) and writer's own |
| | | claim | writing (at least 3) to |
| | | | support the claim |
| Does not include | Includes some | Includes sufficient | Creatively and |
| personal experience | personal experience | personal experience | originally includes |
| or reflection | and reflection | and reflection | personal experience |
| | | | and reflection |
| Does not describe | Poorly describes what | Describes succinctly | Expertly and |
| what has changed in | has changed in the | what has changed in | creatively describes |
| the writer's model of | writer's model of | the writer's model of | succinctly what has |
| college | college | college | changed in the |
| reading/writing | reading/writing | reading/writing | writer's model of |
| | | | college |
| | | | reading/writing |
| | | | |
| Makes no interesting | Makes a claim about | Makes an interesting | Makes an interesting |
| claim about the | the writer's identity | claim about the | claim about the |
| writer's identity | | writer's identity, | writer's identity that |
| | | using course texts | expertly uses and |
| | | | adds to course texts |
| Does not conclude | Concludes with what | Concludes clearly | Expertly and |
| with what the writer | the writer will carry | with what the writer | purposefully |
| will carry forward | forward from this | will carry forward | concludes clearly |
| from this class | class | from this class | with what the writer |
| | | | will carry forward |
| | | | from this class |